

# A Geometric Condition of Extender Based Prikry Forcing

Jiachen Yuan  
Chinese Academy of Science

RIMS Set Theory Workshop 2016  
November 28, 2016

# Background

Prikry forcing was introduced in the year 1970. It enables us to construct a model which convert a inaccessible cardinal to a singular cardinal. Let  $\kappa$  be a measurable cardinal and  $U$  a normal ultrafilter over  $\kappa$ .

## Definition (Prikry forcing)

Let  $P$  be the set of all pairs  $\langle p, A \rangle$  such that  $p$  is a finite subset of  $\kappa$ ,  $A \in U$  and  $\min(A) > \max(p)$ . Suppose that  $\langle p, A \rangle, \langle q, B \rangle \in P$ ,  $\langle p, A \rangle \leq \langle q, B \rangle$  iff  $p$  is an end extension of  $q$ ,  $A \subseteq B$  and  $p \setminus q \subseteq B$ .

# Background

After that, as the developing of the techniques, Prikry type forcings become one of the most powerful tools to get the consistency results.

- Gitik showed that all the limit ordinals may of cofinality  $\omega$  with the absence of AC by assuming the existence of a proper class of strongly compact cardinals is compatible with ZFC.
- Magidor showed that the negation of SCH is compatible with ZFC from the consistency of supercompactness.

# What is geometric condition

Most of Prikry type forcings have very nice property of closure which is called the Prikry condition.

# What is geometric condition

Most of Prikry type forcings have very nice property of closure which is called the Prikry condition.

## Theorem (Prikry condition)

*For every given  $p \in P$  and a formula  $\Phi$  with all its parameters in  $\kappa$ , there is a  $q \in P$ ,  $q \leq^* p$  ( $p$  and  $q$  shares the same stem with  $p$ ) and  $q \parallel \Phi$ .*

In fact, it is possible to get something more than that.

# What is geometric condition

In the language of forcing, even though the genericity is a concept with respect to all dense subsets, the non-empty intersection of the generic and several certain dense sets is sufficient to verify the validity of required properties in the generic model.

In fact, for most forcings, it is very difficult to tell all the properties that a generic object can have, since it is not easy to figure out the pattern of all the dense subsets.

# What is geometric condition

However, for most Prikry type forcings, it is possible to get a characterization of the generic from the measure in the ground. In another word, the measure in the ground can give us full information of all the dense sets. Such property is called geometric condition.

# What is geometric condition

However, for most Prikry type forcings, it is possible to get a characterization of the generic from the measure in the ground. In another word, the measure in the ground can give us full information of all the dense sets. Such property is called geometric condition.

## Theorem (Mathias condition for Prikry forcing)

*For every  $G \subseteq P$ , let  $g$  be the set  $\bigcup\{p \mid \exists B \langle p, B \rangle \in G\}$ , then  $G$  is a generic set iff for all  $A \in U$ ,  $g \setminus A$  is finite.*



# Applications of geometric conditions

Prikry-type forcing	Application of its geometric condition
Prikry forcing with respect to $i_{0,\omega}(U)$ in $Ult^\omega$	$\bigcap_{n < \omega} Ult^n = Ult^\omega[\langle i_{0,n}(\kappa) \mid n < \omega \rangle]$ (Bukovský [1] [2])
Force with a uniform ultrafilter over $\omega_1$	Analyze the properties of $\mathbb{P}_{max}^{\clubsuit NS}$ (Woodin [10])
Diagonal Prikry forcings with and without guiding generic	Analyse the combinatoric properties including weakly square (Sinapova [8])
Extender-based Prikry forcing with a single Extender	$Con(I_0)$ implies $Con(I_1 + \neg SCH \text{ at } \kappa)$ (Dimonte - Wu[3] and Shi - Trang[9])

Table: several applications of geometric condition

# Failure of SCH

- $Con(ZFC + \exists \kappa (\kappa \text{ is supercompact})) \rightarrow Con(\neg SCH_\kappa)$  (Magidor)
- $Con(ZFC + \exists \kappa (o(\kappa) = \kappa^{++})) \rightarrow Con(\neg SCH_\kappa)$  (Gitik)
- $Con(ZFC + \exists \kappa (\kappa \text{ is a countable limit of strong cardinals}))$   
 $\rightarrow Con(\neg SCH_\kappa)$  (Gitik)
- $Con(ZFC + \exists \kappa (\kappa \text{ is a countable limit of "short" strong cardinals}))$   
 $\rightarrow Con(\neg SCH_\kappa)$  (Gitik)

# Failure of SCH

- $Con(ZFC + \exists \kappa (\kappa \text{ is supercompact})) \rightarrow Con(\neg SCH_\kappa)$  (Magidor)
- $Con(ZFC + \exists \kappa (o(\kappa) = \kappa^{++})) \rightarrow Con(\neg SCH_\kappa)$  (Gitik)
- $Con(ZFC + \exists \kappa (\kappa \text{ is a countable limit of strong cardinals}))$   
 $\rightarrow Con(\neg SCH_\kappa)$  (Gitik)
- $Con(ZFC + \exists \kappa (\kappa \text{ is a countable limit of "short" strong cardinals}))$   
 $\rightarrow Con(\neg SCH_\kappa)$  (Gitik)

Furthermore Gitik and Mitchell showed that if we want to blow up the power set of a strong limit cardinal  $\kappa$  to be  $\lambda$ , we need either the consistency of  $o(\kappa) = \lambda$  or the consistency of existence of a cofinal sequence to  $\kappa$  with increasing Mitchell orders.

# One element Prikry forcing

Let  $\kappa$  be the limit of an increasing sequence  $\langle \kappa_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$  of measurable cardinals and each  $\kappa_n$  is equipped with a normal measure  $U_n$ . Let  $Q_n = U_n \cup \kappa_n$ .

## One-element forcing

The partial order  $\mathbb{P}$  satisfies that every member  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  is an element of  $\langle Q_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$  such that there is a natural number  $n$  so that  $((\forall m < n(p(m) \in \kappa_m)) \wedge (\forall m > n(p(m) \in U_n)))$ . And  $p \leq q$  iff for all natural number  $n$

- $p(n) = q(n)$  if  $q(n)$  is an ordinal, or
- $p(n) \in q(n)$  if  $q(n) \in U_n$  and  $p(n)$  is an ordinal,
- $p(n) \subseteq q(n)$  otherwise.

# One element Prikry forcing

The behavior of the generics over this partial order is very clear:

## Prikry condition for one element Prikry forcing

Let  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $D$  is an open dense subset of  $\mathbb{P}$  below  $p$ . Then there is a  $p^*$  such that  $p^* \leq^* p$  ( i.e.  $I(p^*) = I(p)$ ) and  $n^* < \omega$  so that for every  $\langle \nu_0, \dots, \nu_{n^*-1} \rangle \in \prod_{I(p) < m < I(p) + n^* - 1} A_m(p^*)$ ,  $p^* \dot{\cup} \langle \nu_0, \dots, \nu_{n^*-1} \rangle$  is in  $D$ .

$p^* \dot{\cup} \langle \nu_0, \dots, \nu_{n^*-1} \rangle$  is defined to be the condition which assigns  $q(i)$  to  $\nu_i$  for every  $i$ ,  $I(p) < i < I(p) + n^* - 1$  and to  $p^*(i)$  otherwise.

# One element Prikry forcing

The behavior of the generics over this partial order is very clear:

## Prikry condition for one element Prikry forcing

Let  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $D$  is an open dense subset of  $\mathbb{P}$  below  $p$ . Then there is a  $p^*$  such that  $p^* \leq^* p$  ( i.e.  $l(p^*) = l(p)$ ) and  $n^* < \omega$  so that for every  $\langle \nu_0, \dots, \nu_{n^*-1} \rangle \in \prod_{l(p) < m < l(p) + n^* - 1} A_m(p^*)$ ,  $p^* \dot{\smallfrown} \langle \nu_0, \dots, \nu_{n^*-1} \rangle$  is in  $D$ .

$p^* \dot{\smallfrown} \langle \nu_0, \dots, \nu_{n^*-1} \rangle$  is defined to be the condition which assigns  $q(i)$  to  $\nu_i$  for every  $i$ ,  $l(p) < i < l(p) + n^* - 1$  and to  $p^*(i)$  otherwise.

Based on this proposition we can obtain:

## Geometric condition for one element Prikry forcing

Suppose that  $\langle f(n) \mid n < \omega \rangle$  is a countable cofinal sequence of  $\kappa$ ,  $f$  is generated by the generic object iff for every sequence  $\langle A_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$  such that  $\forall n < \omega (A_n \in U_n)$ ,  $f(m)$  is in  $A_m$  for all large number  $m$ .

# Definitions of the extender based forcing

## Assumptions

Suppose  $\kappa$  is the limit of the sequence  $\langle \kappa_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$  such that each  $\kappa_n$  is  $(\kappa_n, \lambda + 1)$ -strong for a regular cardinal  $\lambda \geq \kappa^+$ .

- 1 Let  $E_n$  be a  $(\kappa_n, \lambda + 1)$ -extender.
- 2 For  $\alpha < \lambda$ ,  $\mathbf{U}_{n,\alpha}$  is the ultrafilter generated by the seed  $\{\alpha\}$  via  $j_{E_n}$ .
- 3 For  $\alpha, \beta < \lambda$  define  $\alpha \leq_{E_n} \beta$  if and only if  $\alpha \leq \beta$  and for some  $f \in {}^{<\kappa_n}\kappa_n$ ,  $j_{E_n}(f)(\beta) = \alpha$ . Therefore we can fix a set  $\{\pi_{\beta\alpha} \mid \beta < \lambda, \alpha < \lambda, j_{E_n}(\pi_{\beta\alpha})(\beta) = \alpha\}$ .
- 4  $\pi_{\beta\alpha}$  will induce a Rudin-Keisler projection from  $\mathbf{U}_{n,\beta}$  to  $\mathbf{U}_{n,\alpha}$ .

# Definitions of the extender based forcing

## Partial order at stage $n$

Let  $Q_{n1} = \{f \mid f \text{ is a partial function from } \lambda \text{ to } \kappa_n \text{ of cardinality at most } \kappa\}$ .

The order  $\leq_1$  on  $Q_{n1}$  is inclusion.

Let  $Q_{n0}$  be the set of triples  $\langle a, A, f \rangle$  so that:

- 1  $f \in Q_{n1}$ ,
- 2  $a \subseteq \lambda$  with  $|a| < \kappa_n$ ,  $a \cap \text{dom}(f) = \emptyset$ , and  $a$  has a  $\leq_{E_n}$  – maximal element,
- 3  $A \in \mathbf{U}_{n, \max(a)}$ ,
- 4  $\forall (\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in a \wedge \alpha >_{E_n} \beta >_{E_n} \gamma) \forall \rho (\rho \in \pi''_{mc(a)\alpha} A)$   
 $(\pi_{\alpha\gamma}(\rho) = \pi_{\beta\gamma}(\pi_{\alpha\beta}(\rho)))$ .
- 5  $\forall \alpha \in a \forall \beta \in a \forall \nu \in A ((\alpha > \beta) \rightarrow (\pi_{\max(a)\alpha}(\nu) > \pi_{\max(a)\beta}(\nu)))$ .

For  $\langle a, A, f \rangle, \langle b, B, g \rangle \in Q_{n0}$   $\langle a, A, f \rangle \leq_0 \langle b, B, g \rangle$  if and only if  $f \supseteq g$ ,  $a \supseteq b$  and  $\pi''_{\max(a), \max(b)} A \subseteq B$ .



# Definitions of the extender based forcing

## Partial order at stage $n$

Let  $Q_n = Q_{n0} \cup Q_{n1}$ . Then  $p \leq q$  if and only if

- $p \leq_0 q$ , or
- $p \leq_1 q$ , or
- $p$  is in  $Q_{n1}$  and  $q = \langle a, A, f \rangle$  is in  $Q_{n0}$  such that  $p \supseteq f$ ,  $\text{dom}(p) \supseteq a$ ,  $p(\max(a)) \in A$  and  $\forall \beta \in a (p(\beta) = \pi_{\max(a)\beta}(p(\max(a))))$ .

# Definitions of the extender based forcing

## The partial order $\mathbb{P}$

The set  $\mathbb{P}$  consists of sequences  $p = \langle p_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$  such that for every  $n < \omega$ ,  $p_n \in Q_n$  and there is a  $l(p) < \omega$  such that

- 1 for every  $n < l(p)$ ,  $p_n \in Q_{n1}$ ,
- 2 and for every  $n > l(p) - 1$ ,  $p_n = \langle a_n, A_n, f_n \rangle \in Q_{n0}$  and  $a_n \subseteq a_{n+1}$ .

Let  $p = \langle p_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$  and  $q = \langle q_n \mid n < \omega \rangle \in \mathbb{P}$ . We set  $p \leq q$  iff for every  $n < \omega$ ,  $p_n \leq_{Q_n} q_n$ .

### Theorem (Priky condition see [4] Lemma 2.8)

Let  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $D$  is an open dense subset of  $\mathbb{P}$  below  $p$ . Then there are  $p^* \leq^* p$  and  $n^* < \omega$  so that for every

$$\langle \nu_0, \dots, \nu_{n^*-1} \rangle \in \prod_{l(p) < m < l(p) + n^* - 1} A_m(p^*), \quad p^* \curvearrowright \langle \nu_0, \dots, \nu_{n^*-1} \rangle \in D.$$

$p^* \curvearrowright \langle \nu_0, \dots, \nu_{n^*-1} \rangle$  is defined to be the condition extends  $p^*$  by assigning  $q(i)$  to be  $p^*(i)$  for every  $i$ ,  $i < l(p^*) \vee i \geq l(p^*) + \nu_{n^*-1}$  and assigning  $q(i)$  to be the union of the  $f_i(p^*)$  and the function with domain  $a_i(p^*)$  which takes the value computed by the Rudin-Keisler projection and  $(\max(a_i(p^*)), \nu_i)$  for every  $i$ ,  $l(p) < i < l(p) + n^* - 1$ .

# The generic object of extender based forcing

## Definition of the baby forcing

Let  $\mathbb{P}_0$  be a partial order consists of the conditions  $\langle f_i \mid i < \omega \rangle$  such that for every  $i$ ,

- $f_i$  is a function from  $\lambda$  to 2,
- $|f_i^{-1}\{0\}| < \kappa_i$  and
- $|f_i^{-1}\{1\}| \leq \kappa$ .

The relation  $\leq$  be the including relation for all sufficient large natural coordinates.

# The generic object of extender based forcing

## Geometric condition for extender based forcing

$g$  is a generic function over  $\mathbb{P}$  if and only if there is a  $G_0$  such that the following hold:

- (i)  $g$  and  $G_0$  are mutually generic.
- (ii) (coherency) Let  $g_i$  be  $g \upharpoonright i$ , if  $p = \langle f_i \mid i < \omega \rangle \in G_0$  (w.o.l.g. by genericity we can assume for all  $i$   $f_i^{-1}\{0\}$  has a  $\leq_{E_i}$  maximal element) then there is a natural number  $m$  such that  $\forall i \geq m \forall x \in f_i^{-1}\{0\} (g_i(x) = \pi_{\max(f_i^{-1}\{0\}), x} g_i(\max(f_i^{-1}\{0\})))$  and we say  $m$  is a witness of coherency between  $p$  and  $g$ .
- (iii) (one-element genericity) Suppose  $p = \langle f_i \mid i < \omega \rangle \in G_0$  then for every sequence  $\langle A_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$  such that  $A_i \in \mathbf{U}_{i, \max(f_i^{-1}\{0\})}$  there is a natural number  $n$  such that  $\forall j > m (g_j(\max(f_j^{-1}\{0\})) \in A_j)$ , we call  $m$  a witness of the one-element genericity between  $p$  and  $g$ .

Note that the geometric condition actually tells us the extender based Prikry forcing is a combination of a one element forcing and a almost Cohen forcing.

# The generic object of extender based forcing

The sketch of the proof:

- Let  $G$  be the set  $\{ \langle f_i \mid i < l \rangle \wedge \langle (h_i^{-1} \{0\}, A_i, g_i \upharpoonright h_i^{-1} \{1\}) \mid i \geq l \rangle \mid f_i \subseteq g_i, |f_i| < \kappa, \langle h_i \mid i < \omega \rangle \in G_0, A_i \in \mathbf{U}_{i,mc(a_i)} \text{ and } l \text{ witnesses (ii) and (iii)} \}$

# The generic object of extender based forcing

The sketch of the proof:

- Let  $G$  be the set  $\{ \langle f_i \mid i < l \rangle \wedge \langle (h_i^{-1} \{0\}, A_i, g_i \upharpoonright h_i^{-1} \{1\}) \mid i \geq l \rangle \mid f_i \subseteq g_i, |f_i| < \kappa, \langle h_i \mid i < \omega \rangle \in G_0, A_i \in \mathbf{U}_{i,mc(a_i)} \text{ and } l \text{ witnesses (ii) and (iii)} \}$
- Goal:  $G$  is a generic over  $P$ . Fix a dense open set  $D$ , we have to prove  $D \cap G \neq \emptyset$ .

# The generic object of extender based forcing

The sketch of the proof:

- Let  $G$  be the set  $\{ \langle f_i \mid i < l \rangle \wedge \langle (h_i^{-1} \{0\}, A_i, g_i \upharpoonright h_i^{-1} \{1\}) \mid i \geq l \rangle \mid f_i \subseteq g_i, |f_i| < \kappa, \langle h_i \mid i < \omega \rangle \in G_0, A_i \in \mathbf{U}_{i,mc(a_i)} \text{ and } l \text{ witnesses (ii) and (iii)} \}$
- Goal:  $G$  is a generic over  $P$ . Fix a dense open set  $D$ , we have to prove  $D \cap G \neq \emptyset$ .
- (i) helps us to transfer the generic from  $P_0$  to  $P$ .



# The generic object of extender based forcing

The sketch of the proof:

- Let  $G$  be the set  $\{\langle f_i \mid i < l \rangle \wedge \langle (h_i^{-1} \{0\}, A_i, g_i \upharpoonright h_i^{-1} \{1\}) \mid i \geq l \rangle \mid f_i \subseteq g_i, |f_i| < \kappa, \langle h_i \mid i < \omega \rangle \in G_0, A_i \in \mathbf{U}_{i,mc(a_i)} \text{ and } l \text{ witnesses (ii) and (iii)} \}$
- Goal:  $G$  is a generic over  $P$ . Fix a dense open set  $D$ , we have to prove  $D \cap G \neq \emptyset$ .
- (i) helps us to transfer the generic from  $P_0$  to  $P$ .
- Problem: Since the information of the measure is isolated from the almost Cohen part, it is impossible to tell how to extend the stem of a condition. That's to say, if we go into  $P_0$ , the information of the length of the condition in  $P$  is missed.

# The generic object of extender based forcing

The sketch of the proof:

- Let  $G$  be the set  $\{\langle f_i \mid i < l \rangle \wedge \langle (h_i^{-1} \{0\}, A_i, g_i \upharpoonright h_i^{-1} \{1\}) \mid i \geq l \rangle \mid f_i \subseteq g_i, |f_i| < \kappa, \langle h_i \mid i < \omega \rangle \in G_0, A_i \in \mathbf{U}_{i,mc(a_i)} \text{ and } l \text{ witnesses (ii) and (iii)} \}$
- Goal:  $G$  is a generic over  $P$ . Fix a dense open set  $D$ , we have to prove  $D \cap G \neq \emptyset$ .
- (i) helps us to transfer the generic from  $P_0$  to  $P$ .
- Problem: Since the information of the measure is isolated from the almost Cohen part, it is impossible to tell how to extend the stem of a condition. That's to say, if we go into  $P_0$ , the information of the length of the condition in  $P$  is missed.
- We can enumerate all the functions at the initial stages and combine all of the answers from (i) after putting in the conditions which contain them as their stems to get a universal condition. The universal condition is responsible for recovering the information of length.

# Gap two short extender forcing

From now on we assume that  $\kappa$  is the limit of the sequence  $\langle \kappa_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$  such that each  $\kappa_n$  is  $(\kappa_n, \lambda_n)$ -strong where  $\lambda_n = \kappa_n^{+n+2}$ .

- 1 Let  $E_n$  be a  $(\kappa_n, \lambda_n)$ -extender,
- 2 For  $\alpha < \lambda$ ,  $\mathbf{U}_{n,\alpha}$  is the ultrafilter generated by the seed  $\{\alpha\}$  via  $j_{E_n}$ ,
- 3 For  $\alpha, \beta < \lambda$  define  $\alpha \leq_{E_n} \beta$  if and only if  $\alpha \leq \beta$  and for some  $f \in {}^{<\kappa_n}\kappa_n$ ,  $j_{E_n}(f)(\beta) = \alpha$ . for such  $\alpha \leq_{E_n} \beta$ . Therefore we can fix a set  $\{\pi_{\beta\alpha} \mid \beta < \lambda, \alpha < \lambda, j_{E_n}(\pi_{\beta\alpha})(\beta) = \alpha\}$ .
- 4  $\pi_{\beta\alpha}$  will induce a Rudin-Keisler projection from  $\mathbf{U}_{n,\beta}$  to  $\mathbf{U}_{n,\alpha}$ .

We can attempt to construct a forcing by analogizing the former one. But the obstacle is the chain condition that responsible for preserving  $\kappa^{++}$ .

# Gap two short extender forcing

To fix the chain condition, the definition of the partial order is based on some model theoretic notions.

# Gap two short extender forcing

To fix the chain condition, the definition of the partial order is based on some model theoretic notions.

## Model theoretic notions

Fix  $n < \omega$ . For every  $k < n + 1$  we consider a language  $\mathfrak{L}_{n,k}$  containing two relation symbols, a function symbol, a constant  $c_\alpha$  for every  $\alpha < \kappa_n^{+k}$  and constants  $c_{\lambda_n}, c$ . The structure  $\mathfrak{a}_{n,k}$  is defined to be

$\langle H(\chi^{+k}), \in, E_n, \text{the enumeration of } [\lambda_n]^{<\lambda_n}, \lambda_n, \chi, 0, 1, \dots, \alpha \dots \mid \alpha < \kappa_n^{+k} \rangle$

where  $\chi$  is a regular cardinal large enough. For an ordinal  $\eta < \chi$  we denote by  $tp_{n,k}(\eta)$  the  $\mathfrak{L}_{n,k}$ -type realized by  $\eta$  in  $\mathfrak{a}_{n,k}$ . Similarly for every  $\delta < \chi$  we can define  $tp_{n,k}(\delta, \eta)$ .

# Gap two short extender forcing

## Model theoretic notions

let  $k < n + 1$  and  $\beta < \lambda_n$ .  $\beta$  is called *k-good* iff

- for every  $\gamma < \beta$ ,  $tp_{n,k}(\gamma, \beta)$  is realized unboundedly many times below  $\lambda_n$ ,
- for every  $a \subseteq \beta$  of  $|a| < \kappa_n$  then there is  $\alpha < \beta$  corresponding to  $a$  in the enumeration of  $[\lambda_n]^{<\lambda_n}$ .

$\beta$  is *good* if it is *k-good* for some  $k < n + 1$ .

- The concept of goodness enables us to define an equivalent relation on the partial order.
- In each equivalent class Rudin-Keisler projection is preserved.

# Gap two short extender forcing

## Partial order at stage $n$

Let  $Q_{n1} = \{f \mid f \text{ is a partial function from } \lambda \text{ to } \kappa_n \text{ of cardinality at most } \kappa\}$ . We order  $Q_{n1}$  by inclusion, which here is denoted by  $\leq_1$ .

Let  $Q_{n0}$  be the set of triples  $p = \langle a, A, f \rangle$  so that:

- 1  $f \in Q_{n1}$ ,
- 2  $a$  is a order-preserving function from  $\kappa^{++}$  to  $\lambda_n$  with  $|a| < \kappa_n$ ,  
 $a \cap \text{dom}(f) = \emptyset$ , and  $\text{dom}(a)$  has a maximal element,
- 3  $A \in \mathbf{U}_{n, \max(\text{ran}(a))}$  and  $(\forall(\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in a \wedge \alpha >_{E_n} \beta >_{E_n} \gamma)$   
 $\forall \rho(\rho \in \pi''_{\max(a)\alpha} A)(\pi_{\alpha\gamma}(\rho) = \pi_{\beta\gamma}(\pi_{\alpha\beta}(\rho)))$ ,
- 4  $\forall \alpha \in \text{ran}(a) \forall \beta \in \text{ran}(a) \forall \nu \in A((\alpha > \beta) \rightarrow$   
 $(\pi_{\max(\text{ran}(a))\alpha}(\nu) > \pi_{\max(\text{ran}(a))\beta}(\nu)))$ .

For  $\langle a, A, f \rangle \langle b, B, g \rangle \in Q_{n0}$   $\langle a, A, f \rangle \leq_0 \langle b, B, g \rangle$  if and only if  $f \supseteq g$ ,  
 $a \supseteq b$  and  $\pi''_{\max(\text{ran}(a)), \max(\text{ran}(b))} A \subseteq B$ .

# Gap two short extender forcing

## The underlying set of partial order $\mathbb{P}$

The set  $\mathbb{P}$  consists of sequences  $p = \langle p_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$  such that for every  $n < \omega$ ,  $p_n \in Q_n$  and there is a  $l(p) < \omega$  such that

- 1 for every  $n < l(p)$ ,  $p_n \in Q_{n1}$ ,
- 2 and for every  $n > l(p) - 1$ ,  $p_n = \langle a_n^p, A_n^p, f_n^p \rangle \in Q_{n0}$  and  $\text{dom}(a_n) \subseteq \text{dom}(a_{n+1})$ ,
- 3 For every  $n$ ,  $l(p) \leq n < \omega$  and  $\beta \in \text{dom}(a(n))$  there exist a non-decreasing sequence  $\langle k_m \mid n \leq m < \omega \rangle$  with an infinite limit so that for every  $m \geq n$ ,  $a_m(\beta)$  is  $k_m$ -good.



# Gap two short extender forcing

## Definition (Direct extension)

Let  $p = \langle p_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$  and  $q = \langle q_n \mid n < \omega \rangle \in \mathbb{P}$ .  $p \leq^* q$  iff

- 1  $l(p) = l(q)$ ,
- 2 for every  $l \leq n < \omega$   $a_n^p \subseteq a_n^q$ ,  $f_n^p \subseteq f_n^q$ ,
- 3  $\text{dom}(a_n^p) \setminus \text{dom}(a_n^q) \subseteq \text{dom}(f_n^p) \setminus \text{dom}(f_n^q)$ ,
- 4  $A_n^p \subseteq \pi_{\max(\text{ran}(a_n^p)), \max(\text{ran}(a_n^q))}^{-1} A_n^q$ .

# Gap two short extender forcing

## Definition (extension)

Let  $p = \langle p_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$  and  $q = \langle q_n \mid n < \omega \rangle \in \mathbb{P}$ .  $p \leq q$  iff

- 1 for every  $m < l(q)$   $q_n$  is a subset of  $p_n$ ,
- 2 for every  $m$ ,  $l(q) \leq m < l(p)$   $p(m)$  is the interpretation of  $q(m)$  with an element in  $A_m^q$ ,
- 3 for every  $l(p) \leq n < \omega$   $a_n^p \subseteq a_n^q$ ,  $f_n^p \subseteq f_n^q$ ,
- 4  $\text{dom}(a_n^p) \setminus \text{dom}(a_n^q) \subseteq \text{dom}(f_n^p) \setminus \text{dom}(f_n^q)$ ,
- 5  $A_n^p \subseteq \pi_{\max(\text{ran}(a_n^p), \max(\text{ran}(a_n^q)))}^{-1} A_n^q$ ,
- 6 there exist a non-decreasing sequence  $\langle k_n \mid l(p) \leq n < \omega \rangle$   
 $tp_{n, k_n}(\text{ran}(a_n^p \upharpoonright \text{dom}(a_n^q))) = tp_{n, k_n}(\text{ran}(a_n^q))$ .

## Gap two short extender forcing

Suppose  $A = \{\langle a, \langle b, c \rangle \rangle \mid a, b, c \in V\}$ , for simplicity, I denote  $A^{-1} \{c\}$  to be the set  $\{\langle a, b \rangle \mid \langle a, \langle b, c \rangle \rangle \in A\}$  and  $A^{-2} \{c\}$  to be the set  $\{a \mid \langle a, \langle b, c \rangle \rangle \in A\}$ .

### The baby partial order $\mathbb{P}_0$

We say  $p$  is a condition in  $\mathbb{P}_0$  iff  $p$  is a sequence  $\langle f_i \mid i < \omega \rangle$  such that for every  $i$ ,

- $f_i$  is a function from  $\lambda$  to  $\kappa_i^{+i+2} \times 2$ ,
- $|f_i^{-2} \{0\}| < \kappa_i$  and  $|f_i^{-2} \{1\}| \leq \kappa_i$ ,
- $f_i^{-1} \{0\}$  is an order preserving function such that there is a non-decreasing sequence of natural numbers with infinite limit which witnesses the goodness of the range of  $f_i^{-1} \{0\}$ .

The relation  $\leq$  be the relation induced by  $\leq$  on  $\mathbb{P}$ .

The Prikry condition is due to Merimovich see [7]

### Theorem

*Let  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $D$  is an open dense subset of  $\mathbb{P}$  below  $p$ . Then there are  $p^* \leq^* p$  and  $n^* < \omega$  such that for every  $\langle \nu_0, \dots, \nu_{n^*-1} \rangle \in \prod_{l(p) < m < l(p) + n^* - 1} A_m(p^*)$ ,  $p^* \curvearrowright \langle \nu_0, \dots, \nu_{n^*-1} \rangle \in D$ .*

# Gap two short extender forcing

## Geometric condition for gap two extender forcing

$g$  is a generic function over  $\mathbb{P}$  if and only if there is a  $G_0$  such that the following hold:

(i)  $g$  and  $G_0$  are mutually generic.

(ii) (coherency) Let  $g_i$  be  $g \upharpoonright i$ , if  $p = \langle f_i \mid i < \omega \rangle \in G_0$  (w.o.l.g. by genericity we can assume for all  $i$   $\text{ran}(f_i^{-1}) \setminus \{0\}$  has a  $\leq_{E_i}$  maximal element) then there is a natural number  $m$  such that











$\forall i \geq m \forall x \in f_i^{-1} \setminus \{0\} (g_i(x) = \pi_{\max(\text{ran}(f_i^{-1}) \setminus \{0\}), x} g_i(\max(\text{ran}(f_i^{-1}) \setminus \{0\})))$  and we say  $m$  is a witness of coherency between  $p$  and  $g$ .

(iii) (one-element genericity) Suppose  $p = \langle f_i \mid i < \omega \rangle \in G_0$  then for every sequence  $\langle A_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$  such that  $A_i \in \mathbf{U}_{i, \max(\text{ran}(f_i^{-1}) \setminus \{0\})}$  there is a natural number  $n$  such that

$\forall j > n (g_j(\max(\text{ran}(f_j^{-1}) \setminus \{0\})) \in A_j)$ , we call  $n$  a witness of the one-element genericity between  $p$  and  $g$ .

(iv) for a given sequence  $\langle p_\alpha \mid \alpha < \beta < \kappa_n \rangle$  if it satisfies  $\forall \alpha (p_\alpha \in G_0 \wedge n$  is a witness of coherency between  $p_\alpha$  and  $g$ ) then there is a  $p \in G_0 \wedge n$  is a witness of coherency between  $p$  and  $g$  such that  $\forall (i > n) \forall \alpha (dom^2(p_\alpha(i)) \subseteq dom^2(p(i)))$ .

# References

-  L. Bukovský, Changing cofinality of a measurable cardinal
-  L. Bukovský, Iterated ultrapower and Prikry's forcing
-  V. Dimonte and L. Wu, A general tool for consistency results related to  $I_1$
-  M. Gitik, Prikry-type Forcings, in *Handbook of set theory*
-  M. Gitik and S. Unger, Short Extender Forcing
-  M. Magidor, On The Singular Cardinal Problems I
-  C. Merimovich, The Short Extenders Gap Two Forcing Is Of Prikry Type
-  D. Sinapova, Combinatorics at  $\aleph_\omega$
-  X. Shi and N. Trang,  $I_0$  and combinatoric at  $\lambda^+$
-  H. Woodin, The axiom of determinacy, forcing axioms and the non-stationary ideal

# Thank you