

Mathias-Prikry type forcing and dominating real

Hiroaki Minami
joint work with
Michael Hrušák

19 November 2009

Introduction

Destructibility of ideals by Forcing

Let \mathcal{I} be an ideal on a countable set X .

We say \mathcal{I} is **tall** if $\forall A \in [X]^\omega \exists I \in \mathcal{I} (|A \cap I| = \aleph_0)$.

Example

If \mathcal{A} is a mad family, then

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A}) = \{I \subset \omega : \exists \text{ finite } \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{A} (I \subset \cup \mathcal{F})\}$$

is tall ideal.

Destructibility of ideals by Forcing

Let \mathcal{I} be an ideal on a countable set X .

We say \mathcal{I} is **tall** if $\forall A \in [X]^\omega \exists I \in \mathcal{I} (|A \cap I| = \aleph_0)$.

Example

If \mathcal{A} is a mad family, then

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A}) = \{I \subset \omega : \exists \text{ finite } \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{A} (I \subset \cup \mathcal{F})\}$$

is tall ideal.

Definition

Given an ideal \mathcal{I} and a forcing notion \mathbb{P} . \mathbb{P} destroys \mathcal{I} if there exists a \mathbb{P} -name \dot{A} for an infinite subset of ω such that

$$\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \forall I \in \mathcal{I} \cap V(|\dot{A} \cap I| < \aleph_0).$$

Destructibility of ideals by Forcing

Let \mathcal{I} be an ideal on a countable set X .

We say \mathcal{I} is **tall** if $\forall A \in [X]^\omega \exists I \in \mathcal{I} (|A \cap I| = \aleph_0)$.

Example

If \mathcal{A} is a mad family, then

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{A}) = \{I \subset \omega : \exists \text{ finite } \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{A} (I \subset \cup \mathcal{F})\}$$

is tall ideal.

Definition

Given an ideal \mathcal{I} and a forcing notion \mathbb{P} . \mathbb{P} destroys \mathcal{I} if there exists a \mathbb{P} -name \dot{A} for an infinite subset of ω such that

$$\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \forall I \in \mathcal{I} \cap V(|\dot{A} \cap I| < \aleph_0).$$

Question

When does a given forcing destroy a given ideal?

Forcing quotients

Let \mathcal{I} be a σ -ideal on a Polish space \mathbf{X} . Let $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{I}}$ be a forcing notion of \mathcal{I} -positive Borel subsets of \mathbf{X} , ordered by inclusion.

Many proper forcing notions can be presented as forcing of the form $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{I}}$.

Example

$$\mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{P}_{\text{meager}} = \mathcal{B}(2^\omega)/\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{B} = \mathbb{P}_{\text{null}} = \mathcal{B}(2^\omega)/\mathcal{N},$$

$$\mathbb{S} = \mathcal{B}(2^\omega)/\text{cntble}, \mathbb{L} = \mathcal{B}(\omega^\omega)/\text{not - dominating}, \dots$$

trace ideal and Katětov order

Definition (Brendle)

Let \mathcal{I} be an σ -ideal on 2^ω (or ω^ω). Its trace ideal $\mathbf{tr}(\mathcal{I})$ is an ideal on $2^{<\omega}$ (or $\omega^{<\omega}$) defined by

$$\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{tr}(\mathcal{I}) \text{ iff } \{r : \exists^\infty n \in \omega (r \upharpoonright n \in \mathbf{a})\} \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Definition

Let X and Y are countable. Let \mathcal{I} be an ideal on X and \mathcal{J} be an ideal on Y .

$$\mathcal{I} \leq_K \mathcal{J} \quad \text{if} \quad \exists f : Y \rightarrow X \forall I \in \mathcal{I} (f^{-1}[I] \in \mathcal{J}).$$

We call this order \leq_K Katětov order.

trace ideal and CRN

Let \mathcal{J} be an ideal on a countable set and $\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{J}^+$. Then

$$\mathcal{J} \upharpoonright \mathbf{X} = \{J \cap \mathbf{X} : J \in \mathcal{J}\}$$

is an ideal on \mathbf{X} .

Theorem (Hrušák, Zapletal)

If \mathbb{P}_I is a proper forcing with CRN and \mathcal{J} is an ideal on ω , then the following are equivalent:

1. there is a $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{P}_I$ such that $\mathbf{B} \Vdash$ “ \mathcal{J} is destroyed”.
2. there is a $\text{tr}(\mathbf{I})$ -positive set \mathbf{a} such that $\mathcal{J} \leq_{\kappa} \text{tr}(\mathbf{I}) \upharpoonright \mathbf{a}$.

Question

Fix an ideal \mathcal{I} . Is there a forcing notion which destroys \mathcal{I} and have a nice property?

Theorem (Laflamme)

Every \mathbf{F}_σ ideal can be destroyed by a proper ω^ω -bounding forcing.

Laver forcing associated with filters

Definition

Let \mathcal{I} be an ideal on ω .

$T \in \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{I}^*}$ if $T \subset \omega^\omega$ is tree \wedge

$\forall t \in T (\text{stem}(T) \subset t \rightarrow \text{Succ}_T(t) = \{n \in \omega : t \hat{\ } n \in T\} \in \mathcal{I}^*)$.

$\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{I}^*}$ is ordered by inclusion.

Laver forcing associated with filters

Definition

Let \mathcal{I} be an ideal on ω .

$T \in \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{I}^*}$ if $T \subset \omega^\omega$ is tree \wedge

$\forall t \in T (\text{stem}(T) \subset t \rightarrow \text{Succ}_T(t) = \{n \in \omega : t \frown n \in T\} \in \mathcal{I}^*)$.

$\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{I}^*}$ is ordered by inclusion.

Proposition

Let \mathbf{G} be a $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{I}^*}$ -generic and $\dot{\ell}_{\mathbf{G}}$ and $\dot{\mathbf{a}}_{\mathbf{G}}$ be $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{I}^*}$ -names such that

$\Vdash \dot{\ell}_{\mathbf{G}} = \bigcup \{\text{stem}(T) : T \in \mathbf{G}\} \in \omega^\omega \wedge \dot{\mathbf{a}}_{\mathbf{G}} = \text{rng}(\dot{\ell}_{\mathbf{G}}) \in [\omega]^\omega$

Then

$\Vdash \forall f \in \omega^\omega \cap V(f \leq^* \dot{\ell}_{\mathbf{G}})$ and

$\forall I \in \mathcal{I} \cap \forall X \in \mathcal{I}^+ \cap V(|I \cap \dot{\mathbf{a}}_{\mathbf{G}}| < \aleph_0 \wedge |\dot{\mathbf{a}}_{\mathbf{G}} \cap X| = \aleph_0)$.

Mathias forcing associated with filters

Definition

Let \mathcal{I} be an ideal on ω . Then

$$\langle \mathbf{s}, F \rangle \in \mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{I}^*} \text{ if } \mathbf{s} \in [\omega]^{<\omega} \wedge F \in \mathcal{I}^* \wedge \mathbf{s} \cap F = \emptyset$$

ordered by

$$\langle \mathbf{s}, F \rangle \leq \langle \mathbf{t}, G \rangle \text{ if } \mathbf{s} \supset \mathbf{t} \wedge F \subset G \wedge \mathbf{s} \setminus \mathbf{t} \subset G.$$

Mathias forcing associated with filters

Definition

Let \mathcal{I} be an ideal on ω . Then

$$\langle s, F \rangle \in \mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{I}^*} \text{ if } s \in [\omega]^{<\omega} \wedge F \in \mathcal{I}^* \wedge s \cap F = \emptyset$$

ordered by

$$\langle s, F \rangle \leq \langle t, G \rangle \text{ if } s \supset t \wedge F \subset G \wedge s \setminus t \subset G.$$

Proposition

Let \mathbf{G} be a $\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{I}^*}$ -generic and $\dot{A}_{\mathbf{G}}$ be a $\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{I}^*}$ -name such that

$$\Vdash \dot{A}_{\mathbf{G}} = \bigcup \{s \in [\omega]^{<\omega} : \langle s, H \rangle \in \mathbf{G}\}.$$

Then

$$\Vdash \forall I \in \mathcal{I} \forall X \in \mathcal{I}^+ (|\dot{A}_{\mathbf{G}} \cap I| < \aleph_0 \wedge |\dot{A}_{\mathbf{G}} \cap X| = \aleph_0).$$

Preservation of countably tallness

Question

Fix an ideal \mathcal{I} . When do $\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{I}^}$ or $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{I}^*}$ have a nice property?*

Question

Fix an ideal \mathcal{I} . When do $\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{I}^*}$ or $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{I}^*}$ have a nice property?

Theorem

Let \mathcal{I} be an ideal on ω . Then

1. (Błaszczyk-Shelah) $\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{I}^*}$ does not add a Cohen real if and only if \mathcal{I}^* is a selective ultrafilter.
2. $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{I}^*}$ does not add a Cohen real if and only if \mathcal{I}^* is a nowhere dense ultrafilter.

Theorem

1. (Canjar) If \mathcal{U} is either rapid ultrafilter or not a P -point ultrafilter, then $\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{U}}$ adds a dominating real.
2. (Brendle) If \mathcal{I} is \mathbf{F}_σ -ideal, then $\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{I}^*}$ doesn't add any dominating real.

Theorem (Brendle, Hrušák)

Let \mathcal{I} be an ideal on ω . Then the following are equivalent:

1. $\forall \mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{I}^+ \forall \mathcal{J} \leq_{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{I} \upharpoonright \mathcal{X} (\mathcal{J} \text{ is not countably tall.})$
2. $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{I}^*}$ preserves countable tallness, i.e., if \mathcal{L} is countable tall, then $\mathbb{V}^{\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{I}^*}} \models \mathcal{L}$ is countable tall.
3. $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{I}^*}$ strongly preserves countable tallness, i.e.,

Theorem (Brendle, Hrušák)

Let \mathcal{I} be an ideal on ω . Then the following are equivalent:

1. $\forall X \in \mathcal{I}^+ \forall \mathcal{J} \leq_K \mathcal{I} \uparrow X$ (\mathcal{J} is not countably tall.)
2. $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{I}^*}$ preserves countable tallness, i.e., if \mathcal{L} is countable tall, then $V^{\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{I}^*}} \models \mathcal{L}$ is countable tall.
3. $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{I}^*}$ strongly preserves countable tallness, i.e., for every $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{I}^*}$ -names $\{\dot{A}_n : n \in \omega\}$ such that $\Vdash \forall n \in \omega (\dot{A}_n \in [\omega]^\omega)$, there exists $\{A_n : n \in \omega\} \subset [\omega]^\omega$ in V such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{if } X \in [\omega]^\omega \cap V \text{ satisfies } \forall n \in \omega (|A_n \cap X| = \aleph_0), \\ \text{then } \Vdash \forall n \in \omega (|X \cap \dot{A}_n| = \aleph_0). \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Question

Does the analogue of the last theorem for $\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{I}^}$ hold?*

Question

Does the analogue of the last theorem for $\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{I}^}$ hold?*

For an ideal \mathcal{I} on ω ,

$$\mathcal{I}^{<\omega} = \{\mathbf{A} \subset [\omega]^{<\omega} \setminus \{\emptyset\} : \exists I \in \mathcal{I} \forall a \in \mathbf{A} (a \cap I \neq \emptyset)\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{I}^{<\omega}$ is an ideal on $[\omega]^{<\omega} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$.

Question

Does the analogue of the last theorem for $\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{I}^*}$ hold?

For an ideal \mathcal{I} on ω ,

$$\mathcal{I}^{<\omega} = \{A \subset [\omega]^{<\omega} \setminus \{\emptyset\} : \exists I \in \mathcal{I} \forall a \in A (a \cap I \neq \emptyset)\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{I}^{<\omega}$ is an ideal on $[\omega]^{<\omega} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$.

Theorem (Hrušák, Minami)

The followings are equivalent.

1. $\forall X \in (\mathcal{I}^{<\omega}) \forall \mathcal{J} \leq_{\kappa} \mathcal{I}^{<\omega} \upharpoonright X$ (\mathcal{J} is not countable tall.)
2. $\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{I}^*}$ strongly preserves countably tallness.
3. $\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{I}^*}$ preserves countably tall family.

$\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{I}^*}$ and dominating real

$\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{I}^*}$ and dominating real

Theorem (Hrušák, Minami)

The following are equivalent.

1. $\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{I}^*}$ adds a dominating real.
2. $\mathcal{I}^{<\omega}$ is not \mathbf{P}^+ ideal.

Definition

\mathcal{J} is \mathbf{P}^+ -ideal if for every decreasing sequence $\{\mathbf{X}_n : n \in \omega\}$ of \mathcal{J} -positive set, there exists $\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{J}^+$ such that $\mathbf{X} \subset^* \mathbf{X}_n$.

From (1) to (2).

Let \dot{g} be a \mathbb{M}_{I^*} -name for a dominating real, i.e.,

$$\forall f \in \omega^\omega \cap V(\Vdash f \leq^* \dot{g}).$$

For $f \in \omega^\omega \cap V$, there exists $s_f \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$, $F_f \in I^*$ and $n_f \in \omega$ such that

$$\langle s_f, F_f \rangle \Vdash \forall m \geq n_f (f(n) \leq \dot{g}(m)).$$

Fix $s \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$ and $n \in \omega$ such that

$$\mathcal{F} = \{f \in \omega^\omega : s_f = s \wedge n_f = n\}$$

is a dominating family.

Define

$$X_s = \{t \in [\omega \setminus \max(s)]^{<\omega} : \exists F \in I^* \exists m \geq n \\ (\langle s \cup t, F \rangle \text{ decides } \dot{g}(m))\}.$$

$$X_s = \{t \in [\omega \setminus \max(s)]^{<\omega} : \exists F \in \mathcal{I}^* \exists m \geq n \\ (\langle s \cup t, F \rangle \text{ decides } \dot{g}(m))\}.$$

Claim

$$X_s \in (\mathcal{I}^{<\omega})^+.$$

$$X_s = \{t \in [\omega \setminus \max(s)]^{<\omega} : \exists F \in I^* \exists m \geq n \\ (\langle s \cup t, F \rangle \text{ decides } \dot{g}(m))\}.$$

Claim

$$X_s \in (I^{<\omega})^+.$$

Let $z_t = \{m \geq n : \exists F \in I^* (\langle s \cup t, F \rangle \text{ decides } \dot{g}(m))\}$.

Then define $H : X_s \rightarrow \omega$ by

$$H(t) = \begin{cases} \max(z_t) & \text{if } |z_t| < \omega \\ \min(z_t \setminus \max(t)) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $Y_m = \{H^{-1}[\omega \setminus m]\}$ for $m \geq n$. Then $Y_{m+1} \subset Y_m$.

Claim

$$Y_m \in (I^{<\omega})^+ \text{ for } m \geq n.$$

Let $Y \subset^* Y_m$ for $m \geq n$. We shall show $Y \in I^{<\omega}$.

Assume to the contrary that $Y \in (I^{<\omega})^+$. Define a function

$g : \omega \rightarrow \omega$ by

$$g(m) = \begin{cases} \min\{k : \exists t \in Y \exists m \in \omega \exists F \in I^* \\ \quad (H(t) = m \wedge \langle s \cup t, F \rangle \Vdash \dot{g}(m) = k)\} \\ \text{if there exists } t \in Y \text{ such that } H(t) = m \\ \\ 0 \\ \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $Y \subset^* Y_m$ for $m \geq n$. We shall show $Y \in \mathcal{I}^{<\omega}$.

Assume to the contrary that $Y \in (\mathcal{I}^{<\omega})^+$. Define a function

$g : \omega \rightarrow \omega$ by

$$g(m) = \begin{cases} \min\{k : \exists t \in Y \exists m \in \omega \exists F \in \mathcal{I}^* \\ \quad (H(t) = m \wedge \langle s \cup t, F \rangle \Vdash \dot{g}(m) = k)\} \\ \text{if there exists } t \in Y \text{ such that } H(t) = m \\ \\ 0 \\ \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since \mathcal{F} is a dominating family, there exists $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and $m_0 \geq n$ such that $\forall m \geq m_0 (g(m) \leq f(m))$.

Let $Y \subset^* Y_m$ for $m \geq n$. We shall show $Y \in \mathcal{I}^{<\omega}$.

Assume to the contrary that $Y \in (\mathcal{I}^{<\omega})^+$. Define a function

$g : \omega \rightarrow \omega$ by

$$g(m) = \begin{cases} \min\{k : \exists t \in Y \exists m \in \omega \exists F \in \mathcal{I}^* \\ \quad (H(t) = m \wedge \langle s \cup t, F \rangle \Vdash \dot{g}(m) = k)\} \\ \text{if there exists } t \in Y \text{ such that } H(t) = m \\ \\ 0 \\ \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since \mathcal{F} is a dominating family, there exists $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and $m_0 \geq n$ such that $\forall m \geq m_0 (g(m) \leq f(m))$.

Then there exists $m \geq m_0$ and $t \in Y \cap Y_m \cap F_f$. Also we can find $F \in \mathcal{I}^*$ such that $\langle s \cup t, F \rangle \Vdash \dot{g}(m) = g(m)$.

Let $Y \subset^* Y_m$ for $m \geq n$. We shall show $Y \in \mathcal{I}^{<\omega}$.

Assume to the contrary that $Y \in (\mathcal{I}^{<\omega})^+$. Define a function $g : \omega \rightarrow \omega$ by

$$g(m) = \begin{cases} \min\{k : \exists t \in Y \exists m \in \omega \exists F \in \mathcal{I}^* \\ \quad (H(t) = m \wedge \langle s \cup t, F \rangle \Vdash \dot{g}(m) = k)\} \\ \text{if there exists } t \in Y \text{ such that } H(t) = m \\ \\ 0 \\ \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since \mathcal{F} is a dominating family, there exists $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and $m_0 \geq n$ such that $\forall m \geq m_0 (g(m) \leq f(m))$.

Then there exists $m \geq m_0$ and $t \in Y \cap Y_m \cap F_f$. Also we can find $F \in \mathcal{I}^*$ such that $\langle s \cup t, F \rangle \Vdash \dot{g}(m) = g(m)$.

However $\langle s, F_f \rangle \Vdash \text{“}\forall m \geq n (f(m) < \dot{g}(m))\text{”}$ and $\langle s \cup t, F \rangle$ is compatible with $\langle s, F_f \rangle$. It is contradiction. Therefore $Y \in \mathcal{I}^{<\omega}$ and $\mathcal{I}^{<\omega}$ is not \mathbf{P}^+ -ideal.

From (2) to (1). Let $\langle X_n : n \in \omega \rangle \subset (I^{<\omega})^+$ such that

1. $X_{n+1} \subset X_n$ for $n \in \omega$ and
2. there is no pseudointersection in $(I^{<\omega})^+$.

Let $\langle a_k : k \in \omega \rangle$ be an enumeration of $[\omega]^{<\omega} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$.

Let \dot{A}_{gen} be a \mathbb{M}_{I^*} -name for \mathbb{M}_{I^*} -generic real($\subset \omega$).

From (2) to (1). Let $\langle X_n : n \in \omega \rangle \subset (I^{<\omega})^+$ such that

1. $X_{n+1} \subset X_n$ for $n \in \omega$ and
2. there is no pseudointersection in $(I^{<\omega})^+$.

Let $\langle a_k : k \in \omega \rangle$ be an enumeration of $[\omega]^{<\omega} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$.

Let \dot{A}_{gen} be a \mathbb{M}_{I^*} -name for \mathbb{M}_{I^*} -generic real ($\subset \omega$).

Define \mathbb{M}_{I^*} -name \dot{g} for a function from ω to ω by

$$\Vdash \dot{g}(n) = \min\{k : a_k \subset [\dot{A}_{gen}]^{<\omega} \cap X_n \wedge \\ \max(\bigcup\{a_m : l < n \wedge m = \dot{g}(l)\}) < \min(a_k)\}.$$

We shall show \dot{g} be a dominating real.

Let $f \in \omega^\omega \cap V$ and $\langle \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{F} \rangle \in \mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{I}^*}$.

Let $f \in \omega^\omega \cap V$ and $\langle s, F \rangle \in \mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{I}^*}$.

Let

$$I_f = \{a_k \in [\omega]^{<\omega} \setminus \{\emptyset\} : \exists n \in \omega (a_k \in X_n \wedge k \leq f(n))\}.$$

Then $I_f \subset^* X_n$ for every $n \in \omega$. So $I_f \in \mathcal{I}^{<\omega}$ by definition of X_n .

Let $\hat{I}_f \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $\forall a \in I_f (a \cap \hat{I}_f \neq \emptyset)$. Then $F \setminus \hat{I} \in \mathcal{I}^*$ and $[F \setminus \hat{I}]^{<\omega} \cap I_f = \emptyset$.

Let $f \in \omega^\omega \cap V$ and $\langle s, F \rangle \in \mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{I}^*}$.

Let

$$I_f = \{a_k \in [\omega]^{<\omega} \setminus \{\emptyset\} : \exists n \in \omega (a_k \in X_n \wedge k \leq f(n))\}.$$

Then $I_f \subset^* X_n$ for every $n \in \omega$. So $I_f \in \mathcal{I}^{<\omega}$ by definition of X_n .

Let $\hat{I}_f \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $\forall a \in I_f (a \cap \hat{I}_f \neq \emptyset)$. Then $F \setminus \hat{I}_f \in \mathcal{I}^*$ and $[F \setminus \hat{I}_f]^{<\omega} \cap I_f = \emptyset$.

Put $|s|=m$. Then $\langle s, F \setminus I \rangle \leq \langle s, F \rangle$ and

$$\langle s, F \setminus I \rangle \Vdash \forall n > m (f(n) < \dot{g}(n)).$$

Borel case

Theorem

Suppose \mathcal{I} is a Borel ideal. Then the following are equivalent.

1. \mathcal{I} can be extended to an ideal \mathcal{J} such that $\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{J}^*}$ which doesn't add any dominating real.
2. \mathcal{I} can be extended to a \mathbf{P}^+ -ideal.
3. \mathcal{I} can be extended to an \mathbf{F}_σ -ideal.

Let $\mathcal{Z} = \{\mathbf{A} \subset \omega : \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \frac{|\mathbf{A} \cap n|}{n} = 0\}$.

Corollary

$\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ adds a dominating real.

Question

Are there forcing notion which destroys \mathcal{Z} and doesn't add dominating real?

Ultrafilter case

We say an ultrafilter \mathcal{U} is **Canjar** if $\mathcal{U}^{<\omega}$ is \mathbf{P}^+ ideal.

Question

When \mathcal{U} is Canjar?

Thank you!



Reference

1. Fernando Hernández-Hernández and Michael Hrušák, “Cardinal invariants of analytic \mathbf{P} -ideals”, Canadian Journal of Mathematics, 575–595, vol 59, Number 3, 2007.
2. Jörg Brendle and Michael Hrušák, “Countable Fréchet Boolean groups: An independence result”, J. Symbolic Logic Volume 74, Issue 3 (2009), 1061-1068.
3. Michael Hrušák and Hiroaki Minami, “Mathias-Prikry type forcing and Laver-Prikry type forcing”, preprint.

Appendix: Continuous reading of name

Definition

Let I be a σ -ideal on a Polish space such that the forcing \mathbb{P}_I is proper. The forcing \mathbb{P}_I has the **continuous reading of names** if for every I -positive Borel set B and a Borel function $f : B \rightarrow 2^\omega$ there is an I -positive Borel set $C \subset B$ such that $f \upharpoonright C$ is continuous.

▶ [Go Back](#)

Appendix: Ultrafilter

Definition

Let \mathcal{U} be a filter on ω .

1. \mathcal{U} is selective ultrafilter if
 $\forall f \in \omega^\omega \exists U \in \mathcal{U} (f \upharpoonright U \text{ is one-to-one or constant}).$
2. \mathcal{U} is nowhere dense ultrafilter if
 $\forall f : \omega \rightarrow 2^\omega \exists U \in \mathcal{U} (F[U] \text{ is nowhere dense}).$
3. \mathcal{U} is rapid if $\forall f \in \omega^\omega \exists U \in \mathcal{U} (|U \cap f(n)| \leq n).$
4. \mathcal{U} is P-point ultrafilter if
 $\forall f \in \omega^\omega \exists U \in \mathcal{U} (f \upharpoonright U \text{ is finite-to-one or constant}).$